

**REMARKS**

Claims 10-33 are pending. Applicants gratefully acknowledge the entry of the previous amendment and IDS filed February 26, 2003 and the acknowledgment of the 6/30/1986 priority date.

Obviousness-type Double Patenting Rejection:


The Office Action states that Claims 1-33 are rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11-14 of U.S. Patent No. 4,925,789. The Office Action states that "although the claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are broader than those of '789 and encompass all the features of the claims of '789."

Applicant submits herewith a terminal disclaimer over U.S. Patent No. 4,925,789. It is believed that the terminal disclaimer is sufficient to overcome this ground of rejection. Applicant therefore respectfully requests the withdrawal of the rejection.

In view of the above, Applicant submits that all issues raised in the Office Action have been addressed herein, and that the claims are in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Date: 10/7/03

Respectfully submitted,



Name: Kathleen Williams  
Registration No.: 34,380  
Customer No.: 29933  
Palmer & Dodge LLP  
111 Huntington Avenue  
Boston, MA 02199-7613  
Tel: 617-239-0100